

REMARKS CONCERNING THE AMENDMENTS

The above amendments to the claims include only those types of amendments specifically requested by the Examiner. No substantive change was otherwise made in the claims. **It is to be noted that the rejection of claim 12 should have been directed towards claim 14, and claim 14 has therefore been appropriately amended.** Applicants have corrected all instances where the Examiner found objectionable language.

All claims are in condition for allowance.

DISCUSSION IN RESPONSE TO THE REJECTIONS AND ISSUES IN THE
OFFICE ACTION

All issues with regard to rejections of the claims have been redressed by the above amendments to the claims.

Based on the assessment of claim status given by the Examiner in the Office Action, all claims are believed to be in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,


ROBERT E. BRENNER

By His Representatives,

MARK A. LITMAN & ASSOCIATES, P.A.
York Business Center, Suite 205
3209 West 76th Street
Edina, Minnesota 55435
(952) 832-9090

Date: 28 February 2006

By: _____



Mark A. Litman
Reg. No. 26,390